Educators may not have noticed that the long-running lawsuit, Newdow v. Rio Linda Union School District, challenging the First Amendment constitutionality of reciting the Pledge of Allegiance in public school classrooms came to a quiet close on March 11, 2010. As in the controversial Pledge ruling eight years earlier, the decision was made by a three-judge team of the 9th Circuit Court of Appeals. The 2010 team of judges was made up of two new members and a third who had been involved in the 2002 decision. The March ruling reversed the 2002 decision that the “one nation, under God” phrase in the Pledge was unconstitutional because it represented a state endorsement of religion. This time around, the court panel ruled 2-1 that there was no religious intent in the history and meaning of the Pledge. Writing for the majority, Federal Judge Carlos Bea stated:

“We hold that the Pledge of Allegiance does not violate the Establishment Clause because Congress’ ostensible and predominant purpose was to inspire patriotism and that the context of the Pledge - its wording as a whole, the preamble to the statute, and this nation’s history - demonstrate that it is a predominantly patriotic exercise. For these reasons, the phrase ‘one Nation under God’ does not turn this patriotic exercise into a religious activity...”

We hold that California Education Code § 52720 and the School District’s Policy of having teachers lead students in the daily recitation of the Pledge, and allowing those who do not wish to participate to refuse to do so with impunity, do not violate the Establishment Clause. Therefore, we reverse the decision of the district court holding the School District’s Policy unconstitutional and vacate the permanent injunction prohibiting the recitation of the Pledge by willing students.”

While the two-judge majority gave particular weight to congressional action in 2002 reaffirming the secular and civic purposes of the flag salute, the third judge did not and found that the 2002 ruling had been correct. He stated that the decision to reverse the finding of unconstitutionality meant that the majority of the panel had not taken into account the religious motives of the political leaders who had infused the “under God” phrase into the Pledge in 1954.

No matter which side you supported in this case, it will affect your public school and the ones your children attend. However, there is more latitude in how the results of this ruling will implemented here in California than in many other states in the nation.

Though 43 states require public school students to recite the Pledge of Allegiance, California has encouraged a broader understanding of what it means to teach students to respect and honor the United States and its laws. California requires
the daily performance of “patriotic exercises” in all public schools; the statute states explicitly that
reciting the Pledge fulfills this requirement but its recitation in classrooms is a local option. For primary
schools, patriotic exercises are to take place at the beginning of the first class period at which a majority
of students begin the school day. For secondary schools, the “governing body of the district maintaining
the secondary school” decides the time and manner in which the patriotic exercises are to be conducted.

California law honors a fundamental First Amendment principle from the 1943 West Virginia State Board of Ed. v.
Barnette Supreme Court ruling that public school students cannot be compelled to recite the Pledge of Allegiance.
Schools must allow students to opt out for reasons of conscience; the Court’s compelled-speech doctrine requires as
much. In other words, teachers must provide a patriotic exercise as part of their classroom activities, but, in alignment
with Barnette, California law does not require that students participate in these exercises. Educ. §52720 (2005).

Sometimes teachers complain that students do not participate in the Pledge of Allegiance less out of religious
belief than out of defiance. They often require students to stand respectfully while recital of the Pledge
occurs. However, the 11th Circuit’s July 2008 opinion Frazier v. Winn found that a “standing at attention”
clause in Florida school law violated the First Amendment. “That students have a constitutional right to
remain seated during the Pledge is well established,” wrote the three-judge panel in a per curiam opinion.

Obviously, this is a challenging issue for schools. But it needs to be kept in mind that, since the challenge to the
Pledge of Allegiance is rooted in the very freedoms for which schools want students to build respect, creating an
understanding of these rights and how they developed over time is the real goal. As they develop policies around
patriotic exercises, it is important for educational leaders to keep the purpose of the activity in mind, rather than
focusing attention on students who don’t participate in the Pledge. National samplings have shown again and
again that most Americans cannot name the liberties protected by the First Amendment. Ironically, this would
indicate that mere reciting of a Pledge has not led to deep understanding “of the nation for which it stands.”

If students are our target audience, getting their input will create much better learning outcomes. Learning about the ideals of our nation and its constitutional freedoms; exploring the achievements of great Americans; evaluating events that have challenged and given meaning to us as a people; developing understanding of fundamental concepts such as fairness, justice, common good, rights, responsibilities, and respect, etc. would provide a much more meaningful patriotic exercise for most.

Adding the development of a daily patriotic exercise to their schedule would be an over-the-top demand for
most over worked teachers. Nonetheless, there are several opportunities during the year to begin to think about
alternate activities. The September 17 Constitution Day celebration required of all schools by federal law offers
a great opportunity to generate at least a few new ideas and activities for your classroom that could be shared
among colleagues and added to each year for Constitution Day. Both teachers and students can be involved.
Dozens of books and resources are available at <http://ca3rsproject.org/pages/resource.html>. The exercises
developed could be spread over multiple days or weeks based on a theme. Questions that students could address
as a patriotic activity might include: How would you explain to someone from another country the benefits of
living in a society like the United States where 1) people are free to criticize government leaders in the news,
2) people have freedom of belief and worship, 3) all people have the opportunity to go to school, etc. A couple
of students could share their ideas with the rest of the class during the time that is used for the Pledge of
Allegiance some days. Students could grapple with some of the big questions of government that now seem to
dominate the daily news such as What is the best balance between individual liberty and the needs of the larger
society? These questions and the student responses to them could be in the form of discussion, posters, bumper
stickers, news headlines, radio spots, etc. As an alternate patriotic activity, students could develop a response
to a national disaster such as the recent hurricanes, earthquakes, floods, or mine accidents. These activities
would fit wonderfully with character education or service learning programs that many schools already do.

These options are worth a try, even if implemented only part of the time. Doing such activities in classrooms
might even create a more patriotic generation of Americans…which is the point, after all.
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